## END-15

## METABOLIC CHARACTERISTICS OF INDIVIDUALS WITH IMPAIRED GLUCOSE HOMEOSTASIS

C-H Hsieh<sup>1</sup>, S-W Kuo<sup>2</sup>, Y-J Hung<sup>1</sup>, and Dee Pei<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Tri-Service General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C

<sup>2</sup>Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Buddhist Tzu Chi General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.

**BACKGROUND:** We sought to clarify whether "impaired fasting glucose" (IFG), impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), or both (IFG/IGT) represent the most severe impairment in insulin resistance (IR) and insulin secretion (IS).

**METHODS:** Among 159 Chinese subjects, 21 were diagnosed with IFG, 103 with IGT, and 35 with both. IR and  $\beta$  cell function were assessed using the homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) and insulin suppression test (IST).

**<u>RESULTS:</u>** No differences were evident between the groups in terms of blood pressure, body mass index, plasma insulin fasting levels, and lipid profiles. However, plasma 2-hour insulin levels were higher in the IGT and IFG/IGT groups. The  $\beta$ cell function was not different between these groups, but glucose tolerance was different, with the IFG/IGT and IFG groups displaying higher insulin sensitivity than the IGT via HOMA group instead of no difference via IST in the three patient groups.

**<u>CONCLUSION</u>**: Despite differences in plasma glucose and insulin levels, our data suggest that the IGT, IFG and IFG/IGT groups have a similar IR. The discrepant findings of HOMA-IR might be due to the variability of insulin measurements. Further studies of larger scale could help clarify this conundrum.

Key words: insulin suppression test, impaired fasting glucose, impaired glucose intolerance